IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM

BEFORE NANCY E. PAIGE,

OF ODETTE WILLIAMS,

* AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

CLAIMANT

* OF THE MARYLAND OFFICE

AGAINST THE MARYLAND HOME

* OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

IMPROVEMENT GUARANTY FUND *

FOR THE ALLEGED ACTS OR

OMISSIONS OF NELSON ORTEGA,

T/A CLARIAXEL CONSTRUCTION, * OAH No.: LABOR-HIC-02-19-24026

inc., * MHIC No.: 19 (05) 381

RESPONDENT

PROPOSED DECISION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
ISSUES
SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE
PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT
DISCUSSION
PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
RECOMMENDED ORDER

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On October 29, 2018, Odette Williams (Claimant) filed a claim (Claim) with the Maryland Home Improvement Commission (MHIC) Guaranty Fund (Fund) for reimbursement of \$1,200.00 in actual losses allegedly suffered as a result of a home improvement contract with Nelson Ortega, trading as Clariaxel Construction, Inc. (Respondent). Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401 through 8-411 (2015). On July 15, 2019, the MHIC forwarded the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for a hearing.

,

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all references to the Business Regulation Article herein cite the 2015 Replacement Volume of the Maryland Annotated Code.

open gant del Elitz de est estatos la la la compania de la compania de la la compania de la compania de la com La compania de la comp I held a hearing on November 14, 2019 at the OAH in Hunt Valley, Maryland. Bus. Reg. § 8-407(e). Eric London, Assistant Attorney General, Department of Labor (Department),² represented the Fund. The Claimant represented herself. After waiting more than fifteen minutes for the Respondent or the Respondent's representative to appear, I proceeded with the hearing. Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 28.02.01.23A.³

The contested case provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Department's hearing regulations, and the Rules of Procedure of the OAH govern procedure in this case. Md. Code Ann., State Gov't §§ 10-201 through 10-226 (2014 & Supp. 2019); COMAR 09.01.03; COMAR 28.02.01.

ISSUES

- 1. Did the Claimant sustain an actual loss compensable by the Fund as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions?
 - 2. If so, what is the amount of the compensable loss?

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

Exhibits

I admitted the following exhibits on the Claimant's behalf:

- Cl. #1. August 25, 2018 Estimate/Contract
- C1. #2. Undated photographs
- Cl. #3. Copy of August 25, 2018 cancelled check
- Cl. #4. September 11, 2018 letter from Claimant to HIC

matter.

² On July 1, 2019, the Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation became the Department of Labor.

³ Notice of the hearing was mailed to the Respondent at the address of record by regular and certified mail on August 28, 2019, COMAR 09.08.03.03A(2), and not returned as unclaimed/undeliverable. Applicable law permits me to proceed with a hearing in a party's absence if that party fails to attend after receiving proper notice. COMAR 28.02.01.23A. I determined that the Respondent had received proper notice, and proceeded to hear the captioned

ा अपने स्टेंगि कर्मात्र क्षेत्र एका ग्रीमानकानुकी प्रतिस्त्री हैं।	ersky't _{de} begin	
The contract of the contract o	erioria Primario de Carro	
And the name of the second of the March to the second of t	940 i.C.j.	
and the West properties of the second of the second	an Applehous	
or consider a market selection of a selection of the sele		
	i de de la propiesión La companyación de la companyación	no katagas garbeth
		14 (34) 14 (44) 15 (5) 15 (
of the there are in our for adapted places of places of the control of the contro	esta s.a.	
	dediane be	e Grani a respectation de la companya de la companya Grani a companya de la companya de
of the second of	a la la partir de la como. La comoción de la comoci	
Stelled 2 William (1997) and the second	oran de la Esperi	
	regressively by	The second second second
Old in temperal in the contract of the contrac		

in the graph of a given in the walf are get and the many that and a light than the following of the country of

- Cl. #5. September 12, 2018 email from Claimant to Respondent
- Cl. #6. Copy of September 9, 2018 returned check (insufficient funds)

The Respondent did not offer any exhibits.

I admitted the following exhibits on behalf of the Fund:

- Fund #1. August 28, 2019 Notice of Hearing with attachment
- Fund #2. October 2, 2019 letter "To Whom It May Concern" from HIC
- Fund #3. July 10, 2019 Hearing Order
- Fund #4. October 29, 2018 Home Improvement Claim Form
- Fund #5. October 29, 2018 letter from HIC to Respondent

Testimony

The Claimant testified. No other witness testified.

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT

I find the following facts by a preponderance of the evidence:

- 1. At all times relevant to the subject of this hearing, the Respondent was a licensed home improvement contractor under MHIC license numbers 01-107234 and 05-131374.
- 2. On August 25, 2018, the Claimant and the Respondent entered into a contract to repair the ceiling in the upstairs bathroom in Claimant's home (Contract). The ceiling was damaged by water from a roof leak.
 - 3. The original agreed-upon Contract price was \$2,800.00.
- 4. On August 25, 2018, the Claimant gave the Respondent a check for \$1,200.00 as a deposit. She asked him not to cash the check until the roof was repaired.
- 5. The Respondent deposited the check on August 27, 2018. On or about September 1, 2018, at the Claimant's request, the Respondent gave her a check dated September 5, 2019 in

Catharina Washington Canada Language L. C. Carlos C. Canada

The first the second se

· Land Constitution of the confiction of the confiction of the confiction of

paradores diversada en 1900 de Caracteria de 1900 de 1

ingtood Carganiagas i dii Ain Aighean is sa

े हेन्द्रीकृत्ये इक्टबार में देश मार्नेपाल केला है आगे हुने है कोई हैं।

in the state of the contraction of the state of the state

Bergind, din reference pa sei d'armedia de l'armedia de sei de franchista de l'armedia de l'armedia de l'armed \$7.7 () I differe di l'armedia de l'armedia de l'armedia de l'armedia de l'armedia de l'armedia de l'armedia Lea de grande e estat d'armedia de l'armedia de l'armedia de l'armedia de l'armedia de l'armedia de l'armedia

- Des Contraction of the least of the second of the second

andere (1905) in the standard of the standard

national specification (Co. 1910). XX hought on and to all holps again montroles. Follows:

This specification of the control of the control

the amount of \$1,200.00 to refund the amount he had cashed contrary to her instructions. The Claimant deposited the check on September 1, 2018. It was returned for insufficient funds.

6. The Respondent has failed to return the Claimant's deposit. He has performed no work on the Contract.

DISCUSSION

In this case, the Claimant has the burden of proving the validity of the Claim by a preponderance of the evidence. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §8-407(e)(1) (2015); Md. Code Ann., State Gov't §10-217 (2014); COMAR 09.08.03.03A(3). "[A] preponderance of the evidence means such evidence which, when considered and compared with the evidence opposed to it, has more convincing force and produces . . . a belief that it is more likely true than not true."

Coleman v. Anne Arundel Cty. Police Dep't, 369 Md. 108, 125 n.16 (2002) (quoting Maryland Pattern Jury Instructions 1:7 (3d ed. 2000)).

An owner may recover compensation from the Fund "for an actual loss that results from an act or omission by a licensed contractor." Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-405(a) (2015); see also COMAR 09.08.03.03B(2) ("actual losses . . . incurred as a result of misconduct by a licensed contractor"). "[A]ctual loss' means the costs of restoration, repair, replacement, or completion that arise from an unworkmanlike, inadequate, or incomplete home improvement." Bus. Reg. § 8-401. For the following reasons, I find that the Claimant has proven eligibility for compensation.

The Respondent was a licensed home improvement contractor at the time he entered into the Contract with the Claimant. The Respondent took money from the Claimant and performed no work on the contract. Accordingly, the following formula appropriately measures the Claimant's actual loss: "If the contractor abandoned the contract without doing any work, the

ord confirmation on the graphics policies and all properties as the form of the confirmation of the confir

YOURSE CHICARD

and also the compact of the second of the compact o

nearly of the control of the second of the first with the control of the control

and the state of the first constant of the design of the first of the

claimant's actual loss shall be the amount which the claimant paid to the contractor under the contract." COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(a).

The Business Regulation Article caps a claimant's recovery at \$20,000.00 for acts or omissions of one contractor, and provides that a claimant may not recover more than the amount paid to the contractor against whom the claim is filed. Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(1), (5); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(4), D(2)(a). In this case, the Claimant's actual loss was the amount paid to the Respondent, which was less than \$20,000.00. Therefore, the Claimant is entitled to recover her actual loss of \$1,200.00.

PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I conclude that the Claimant has sustained an actual and compensable loss of \$1,200.00 as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401, 8-405 (2015); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(a). I further conclude that the Claimant is entitled to recover that amount from the Fund.

RECOMMENDED ORDER

I **RECOMMEND** that the Maryland Home Improvement Commission:

ORDER that the Maryland Home Improvement Guaranty Fund award the Claimant \$1,200.00; and

ORDER that the Respondent is ineligible for a Maryland Home Improvement

Commission license until the Respondent reimburses the Guaranty Fund for all monies disbursed under this Order, plus annual interest of ten percent (10%) as set by the Maryland Home

Improvement Commission;⁴ and

⁴ See Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-410(a)(1)(iii) (2015); COMAR 09.08.01.20.

and resident of district to this superior and considerations. The first of the constability are

and a first to the first of the first of the second of the second of the first of t

Appropriate to a least appropriate to a magnification of behavior of a model to entiment of the continuing of the contin

en alle de la company de l La company de la

Chiair (d) the test territor.

The second second of the second secon

Burnerius adal (International Commente de la constitution) (International Commente de la constitution (Internat

So buse on situation specifical grown states and a second of the problems of the second of the secon

ORDER that the records and publications of the Maryland Home Improvement

Commission reflect this decision.

January 24, 2020
Date Decision Issued



NEP/emh # 184221 मान्य समिति होता है। देवित है होती होते हैं के बात विद्यानी ताल के हैं कि लेकिन है है है โกษาเมติสา (ก. โดย เคราะสายสายไทย) Saladary 24 salada เอรี กลาส์เครียกให้

PROPOSED ORDER

WHEREFORE, this 3rd day of March, 2020, Panel B of the Maryland

Home Improvement Commission approves the Recommended Order of the

Administrative Law Judge and unless any parties files with the Commission

within twenty (20) days of this date written exceptions and/or a request to present

arguments, then this Proposed Order will become final at the end of the twenty

(20) day period. By law the parties then have an additional thirty (30) day period

during which they may file an appeal to Circuit Court.

<u>Joseph Tunney</u>

Joseph Tunney Chairman Panel B MARYLAND HOME IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION

Same and the first of the same of the first of the first of the same of

