IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM	* BEFORE EDWARD J. KELLEY,	
OF CHARLES COVINGTON,	* AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUD	GE
CLAIMANT	* OF THE MARYLAND OFFICE	
AGAINST THE MARYLAND HOME	* OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING	S
IMPROVEMENT GUARANTY FUND	*	
FOR THE ALLEGED ACTS OR	*	
OMISSIONS OF WILLIAM E.	*	
CONNOLLY,	*	
T/A WILLIAM CONNOLLY & SON,	* OAH No.: LABOR-HIC-02-22-24812) †
RESPONDENT	* MHIC No.: 22 (75) 249	

PROPOSED DECISION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
ISSUES
SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE
PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT
DISCUSSION
PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
RECOMMENDED ORDER

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On November 6, 2021, Charles Covington (Claimant) filed a claim (Claim) with the Maryland Home Improvement Commission (MHIC) Guaranty Fund (Fund), under the jurisdiction of the Department of Labor (Department), for reimbursement of \$5,068.82 for actual losses allegedly suffered because of a home improvement contract with William Connolly, trading as William Connolly & Son (Respondent). Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg.

SALATE REVIEWS TREATMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

TATES SEED TO SEE SEED TO SEED

Problem discussion

PLATERIALIST CASE
REPORTES
LEGROSSICIONISTA DE REPORTES
LEGROSSICIONISTA DE REPORTES
LEGROSSICIONISTA DE L

NAME OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.

The second of th

§§ 8-401 to -411 (2015 & Supp. 2022). On September 16, 2022, the MHIC issued a Hearing Order on the Claim. On September 23, 2022, the MHIC forwarded the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for a hearing.

On January 18, 2023, I held a hearing at the OAH in Hunt Valley, Maryland. Bus. Reg. §§ 8-407(a), 8-312. Jonathan Phillips, Assistant Attorney General, Department, represented the Fund. The Claimant was present and self-represented. The Respondent did not appear.

After waiting over fifteen minutes for the Respondent or the Respondent's representative to appear, I proceeded with the hearing. Applicable law permits me to proceed with a hearing in a party's absence if that party fails to attend after receiving proper notice. Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 28.02.01.23A. On October 28, 2022, the OAH provided a Notice of Hearing (Notice) to the Respondent by United States mail and certified mail to the Respondent's address on record with the OAH and the MHIC. COMAR 28.02.01.05C(1). The Notice stated that a hearing was scheduled for January 18, 2023, at 9:30 a.m., at the OAH in Hunt Valley, Maryland. COMAR 09.08.03.03A(2). The Notice further advised the Respondent that failure to attend the hearing might result in "a decision against you."

The notice sent first-class mail was returned by the United States Postal Service with the notation "Return to Sender, Attempted – Not Known, Unable to Forward." The Notice sent certified mail was returned to the OAH with the notation "Return to Sender, Refused, Unable to Forward." The Respondent did not notify the OAH or the MHIC of any change of mailing address. See COMAR 28.02.01.03E. The Respondent made no request for postponement prior to the date of the hearing. COMAR 28.02.01.16. I determined that the Respondent received proper notice, and I proceeded to hear the captioned matter. COMAR 28.02.01.05A, C.

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all references hereinafter to the Business Regulation Article are to the 2015 Replacement Volume of the Maryland Annotated Code.

on a control of the real postage species are a control of the property of the

male up 36 and Red 1970 sair to prize of a read 1,70 of pag-

or str. I grow the will true Applicable Lengthers and the accuracy to the control of the control

The transfer of the first of the first that the first of the first of

Loan John Jaron Spenis Armazis Asemble Ladrand CAL princes

an apply share graph adjultant resigned as the raje agraph a chieff party to the

The self-property and a supplier of the self-property and the self

10 miles (1.0 miles 25 feb. 25 feb. 27 feb. 25 feb. 28 feb. 28

FOR THE BUILDING STREET HER DESCRIPTION OF THE BUILDING STREET HER TO SEE THE SECOND STREET HER SECOND STREET HE SECOND STREET HER SECOND

Thomas is full harder profiled range of the Light for the second and the second second

To all things the beauty and then as the beauty and

us station"。在III · III Public Add Managage" addition and after Har van ja bannary — was part a "" pa

11 (1997) 1

I startly to managed nominal account there's a last of the new

deficiency and the Dilliferance (ACC) are good to transpore the comment of

"T" report Oil of plainty Javane Xao 7 - Ferrare James 2 - graphy and

the feet on the Delicantic length agents 1 of 1 on 20 MS (E.S. 120 open). However, the state of

and you relegate to be the companies and the Companies of the Companies of

. It all after manifesting kills the committee I being the own private a red

The character of the tops of the blattest States regulated certification of the characters and the control of the characters and the control of the characters are the characters are

hit was full in his hungary and rel sources a full range or married

The contested case provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Department's hearing regulations, and the Rules of Procedure of the OAH govern procedure. Md. Code Ann., State Gov't §§ 10-201 through 10-226 (2021); COMAR 09.01.03; COMAR 28.02.01.

ISSUES

- 1. Did the Claimant sustain an actual loss compensable by the Fund because of the Respondent's acts or omissions?
 - 2. If so, what is the amount of the compensable loss?

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

Exhibits

I admitted the following exhibits offered by the Claimant:

- Clmt. Ex. 1: Claimant's narrative, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 2: Contract between the Claimant and the Respondent, March 22, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 3: Check from the Claimant to the Respondent, March 22, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 4: Check from the Claimant to the Respondent, May 14, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 5: Scope of Unfinished Painting Project, undated
- Clmt. Ex. 6: Contract between the Claimant and W. McKenzie Painting Services (McKenzie), September 29, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 7: Check from the Claimant to McKenzie, October 5, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 8: Check from the Claimant to McKenzie, October 14, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 9: Check from the Claimant to McKenzie, October 22, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 10: Contract between the Claimant and McKenzie, September 29, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 11: Check from the Claimant to McKenzie, October 18, 2021
- Clmt. Ex. 12: Check from the Claimant to McKenzie, October 22, 2021

time chall will be bouldered thing a series in the Claiman I.

Light part of the challenger of the light but the chall of the chall be challed to the chall of the chall of the challenger of the chall of the chall of the challenger of the challeng

Land of the state of the state

Commission of Champing and Champing Additional Action of the Champing Champ

Color of the Color of the color of the state of the state

The read of the old manufacture of the state of the state

Clmt. Ex. 13: Pictures of project, undated

Clmt. Ex. 14: Letter from the Claimant to the Respondent, November 22, 2021

I admitted the following exhibits offered by the Fund:

Fund Ex. 1: Notice of Hearing, October 28, 2022

Fund Ex. 2: Hearing Order, September 16, 2022

Fund Ex. 3: MHIC Claim Form and Letter from Joseph Tunney, Chairman, MHIC, November 23, 2021

Fund Ex. 4: The Respondent's licensing history, January 11, 2023

The Respondent did not attend the hearing or present exhibits for admission into evidence.

Testimony

The Claimant testified and did not present other witnesses.

The Respondent did not appear at the hearing or present any witnesses.

The Fund did not present any witnesses.

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT

I find the following facts by a preponderance of the evidence:

- 1. At all times relevant to the subject of this hearing, the Respondent was a licensed home improvement contractor under MHIC license number 01-4082.
- 2. On March 22, 2022, the Claimant and the Respondent entered a contract to paint the exterior of the Claimant's residence (Contract). The project included glazing and painting several twelve-pane window frames.
 - 3. The original agreed-upon Contract price was \$6,000.00.
- 4. The Claimant and the Respondent agreed that the work would begin in May 2021 and would be completed in two weeks.

heading of the control of the contro

The state of the second second

an ista di di di Salam terigapat i l'Estato De semblar i malati di la mendate en el

e poistuni is read-up us "outmet prince.ves \$65,000pm

to Claimse drugt their rependent eggsof that the salety

and own organithousing. In a missey

- 5. The Contract stated that \$2,000.00 was due at signing and \$4,000.00 was due upon completion of the project.
 - 6. On March 22, 2022, the Claimant paid the Respondent \$2,000.00.
- 7. The Respondent began work on May 4, 2021, with the Respondent's son as the only painter assigned to the project.
- 8. The Respondent's son worked diligently on the project for approximately two weeks but completed only one-third of the project.
- 9. On May 14, 2021, the Respondent requested that the Claimant pay \$2,000.00, and the Claimant did so.
 - 10. On May 18, 2021, the Respondent's son died in a car accident.
- 11. Following the death of his son, the Respondent came to the residence with Michael Grimm (Grimm), an unlicensed contractor, to inspect the project.
- 12. The Respondent refused to the complete the project unless the Claimant paid
 Grimm \$2,000.00. The Claimant rejected this demand, and the Respondent did no further work
 on the project.
- 13. In September 2021, the Claimant contracted with McKenzie, a licensed contractor, to complete the project to the Contract's specifications.
- 14. McKenzie had to redo some of the painting because it was chipping, and it also had to repair windows the Respondent had broken.
- 15. McKenzie completed the project in October 2021, and the Claimant paid McKenzie \$7,023.82.

1-2 may then it with a superfluence that the second of the superfluence of the superfl 1995. Aleksaktyskilladaking parimusi, 7 och 1898. de 5 danate resp. Maridity v. 1502. February and characteristic and the second ne Kespap if it's sea wydgol Utigailly, ua O c.p. grad Frust The world with a substitution of the property of the party of the part May 1.8, 16.2 Vilse Regundens's conflict insidiates. especial dispetitiva trasperiore Alexida especial especial dispetitiva (1) 11 and Griege Journal of Authorities Indianal Commission (Colored Little of the control ham the galladding happy to all to says it that Dadi - 100,5- ...

reson, to us micto the project in the filling representational property and the second second

eiera**ille** id allegebalten paramatikan (1901) sällige eiera

1.5 A. Highele and elected mo-project in October 2021, and the Olitics at p. 1.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK

The Claimant has the burden of proving the validity of the Claim by a preponderance of the evidence. Bus. Reg. § 8-407(e)(1); State Gov't § 10-217; COMAR 09.08.03.03A(3). To prove a claim by a preponderance of the evidence means to show that it is "more likely so than not so" when all the evidence is considered. Coleman v. Anne Arundel Cnty. Police Dep't, 369 Md. 108, 125 n.16 (2002).

Certain claimants are excluded from recovering from the Fund altogether. Specifically, a claimant may not recover an award from the Fund unless the evidence shows that: (a) the claimant resides in the home as to which the claim is made, or owns no more than three dwelling places; (b) the claimant is not an employee, officer, or partner of the contractor; or the spouse or other immediate relative of the contractor or the contractor's employees, officers or partners; (c) the work at issue did not involve new home construction; (d) the claimant did not unreasonably reject the contractor's good faith effort to resolve the claim; (e) the claimant complied with any contractual arbitration clause before seeking compensation from the Fund; (f) there is no pending claim for the same loss in any court of competent jurisdiction and the claimant did not recover for the actual loss from any source; and (g) the claimant filed the claim with the MHIC within three years of the date the claimant knew, or with reasonable diligence should have known, of the loss or damage. Bus. Reg. §§ 8-405(c), (d), (f), and (g), 8-408(b)(1); Bus. Reg. § 8-101(g)(3)(i) (Supp. 2022).

If not excluded on these grounds, a claimant may recover compensation from the Fund "for an actual loss that results from an act or omission by a licensed contractor." Bus. Reg. § 8-405(a); see also COMAR 09.08.03.03B(2) ("The Fund may only compensate claimants for actual losses . . . incurred as a result of misconduct by a licensed contractor."). "[A]ctual loss'

end alter under der regenden der gegen met met en der gegen der en der gegen der gegen der gegen der gegen der

Total 1 That and postsoneous yet search in the Late Daggins. Free Consult

means the costs of restoration, repair, replacement, or completion that arise from an unworkmanlike, inadequate, or incomplete home improvement." Bus. Reg. § 8-401. For the following reasons, I find that the Claimant has proven eligibility for compensation.

ANALYSIS

Based on the evidence presented at the hearing, I find that the Claimant was not subject to any of the statutory exclusions for recovery from the Fund. Additionally, the undisputed evidence demonstrated that the Respondent performed an incomplete and unworkmanlike home improvement by failing to timely and competently complete the project as required by the Contract. The Claimant testified thoroughly and credibly about all facets of the project, and his unrefuted testimony was corroborated by exhibits, which included pictures demonstrating the status of the Respondent's incomplete and deficient work. The Respondent was hired to paint the exterior of the Claimant's home for \$6,000.00. The project was supposed to be completed within two weeks; however, after two weeks only one-third of the project was completed. The substantial delay occurred because the Respondent's son was the only person working on the project and he could not complete the project by himself in the timeframe allotted. On May 14, 2021, although not required to do so under the Contract, the Claimant paid the Respondent an additional \$2,000.00 upon request because at that time, it appeared that the project was progressing toward completion even though it was substantially delayed.

Tragically, the Respondent's son was killed in a car accident on May 18, 2021, and work on the project halted as a result. The Respondent then refused to complete the project unless the Claimant paid Grimm, an unlicensed contractor, \$2,000.00. The Claimant rejected this unreasonable demand given that he already advanced the Respondent \$2,000.00 before it was due under the Contract. After the Respondent refused to honor the Contract terms, the Claimant

in Protestantinian - Emmodellier, return - Louistellier - decorate

[4] "Transkinda midilablos, ita : | teastlab side adduce or

di kafingilia una pagagan katalap Kibiri na dikarangan pagagan dikir u

Harved a. Ju-dystrondy presented at the housing, 1-limit that the Carlon

an although a supplement of the solution of the supplementation of the supplementation of the supplementation of

gerges the god act stational displacement to the type of a systles and scenario.

il, en poelle 🚚 en transferit (transferit de la principal de la companya de la c

et to use blive of religions but the street of months and page. Ded it is seen a

en konalpe kinataja dabby laddaga tekbatana jawayawoji nad sa buntu w

al and represent the first self-100.000 at a place and a later to be self-to all and

gale significant high additional expedite visite, at table, and the significant

con define authorization of the Respondibility and the second of the second

* his negligible to the confidence of the second of the con-

residual triplicate con ten, built d'attre note el major mossil ad la distingent de

a grant in the collection of the Personal start start with the contract of the collection of the colle

ran salah Mili sal V. 2025 Bili sarah nga katanah nataungana. Di bi sa tarah n

are the entering of the state o

to the Countries of the Respondent returned to long the literatural and the countries of th

ALS BEEN AND WILLIAM AND SHOP OF THE THE PARTY AND THE PARTY AND A PARTY AND A

or an initial phonocology of control in the first control that the first control in the first balls are in-

harden et all begreen by the beautiful and the contract of the second and the contract of the second and the contract of the c

#

was hired McKenzie to complete the project to the Contract's specifications for \$7,023.82.

McKenzie had to redo some of the painting because it was chipping, and it also had to repair windows the Respondent had broken.

Both the Claimant and the Fund argued that the evidence demonstrated the Respondent performed an incomplete home improvement, and I find these arguments persuasive. The Respondent's son's death, while tragic, did not relieve the Respondent of fulfilling the terms of the Contract. At the time of the Respondent's son's death, only one-third of the project was completed, and the Claimant owed no money to the Respondent until the Respondent fully completed the project. The Respondent refused to fulfill his obligations under the Contract, and he left the project incomplete; additionally, some of the work was deficient and needed to be repaired and redone. I thus find that the Claimant is eligible for compensation from the Fund.

Having found eligibility for compensation I must determine the amount of the Claimant's actual loss and the amount, if any, that the Claimant is entitled to recover. The Fund may not compensate a claimant for consequential or punitive damages, personal injury, attorney fees, court costs, or interest. Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(3) (Supp. 2022); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(1). MHIC's regulations provide three formulas to measure a claimant's actual loss, depending on the status of the contract work.

The Respondent performed some work under the Contract, and the Claimant retained McKenzie to repair and complete that work. Accordingly, the following formula appropriately measures the Claimant's actual loss:

If the contractor did work according to the contract and the claimant has solicited or is soliciting another contractor to complete the contract, the claimant's actual loss shall be the amounts the claimant has paid to or on behalf of the contractor under the original contract, added to any reasonable amounts the claimant has paid or will be required to pay another contractor to repair poor work done by the original contractor under the original contract and complete the

the Bulkamin also repaired in the plantage of the plantage of the second state of the esta taliques canobre diffical compa final en les jangaistes di iper and also suggested their I bear inflator orapid to all against our inflator orapid. Fig. 1. Sept 2 of the state of the country deligible of the later of the state of t the state of the state of the bearing of the state of the ter gened, and the Contempt of out by compacts that Research and the Mark the parameter of about in provide stated that his begins meterny it is afficient team of safety was was a railed pitching of mountain annually accompany, ell-mobilities | embarrages | to broke to tax | of the first of the second second second second in the first second seco atter a la Charmacala, regard poblemotrono no la la legida de la propri ra m all the old registers or believe at imageicht ein totte, orde abbeiten – 4 has egol THE TANK DESTRUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT The state of the s in allow rocked gard, modestine reads under the Consider with a Consideration

The success or early acting acting overtheir works. Accomplishing the entire time are

My example of the work mounding to the example and the elecformed one libitization and on a present to specific that a neutral pro-

The offer the second of the se

original contract, less the original contract price. If the Commission determines that the original contract price is too unrealistically low or high to provide a proper basis for measuring actual loss, the Commission may adjust its measurement accordingly.

COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(c).

In this case, the Claimant paid the Respondent \$4,000.00 under the Contract and then paid McKenzie \$7,023.82 to repair poor work and complete the project, which totals \$11,023.82. When the \$6,000.00 Contract price is subtracted from this figure, the resulting actual loss is \$5,023.82.

Effective July 1, 2022, a claimant's recovery is capped at \$30,000.00 for acts or omissions of one contractor, and a claimant may not recover more than the amount paid to the contractor against whom the claim is filed.² Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(1), (5) (Supp. 2022); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(4). In this case, the Claimant's actual loss of \$5,023.82 exceeds the amount paid to the Respondent. Therefore, the Claimant's recovery is limited to \$4,000.00, the amount paid to the Respondent.

PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I conclude that the Claimant has sustained an actual loss of \$5,023.82 because of the Respondent's acts or omissions. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401, 8-405 (2015 & Supp. 2022); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(c). I further conclude that the Claimant is entitled to recover \$4,000.00 from the Fund. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(1), (5) (2015 & Supp. 2022); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(4).

² On or after July 1, 2022, the increased cap is applicable to any claim regardless of when the home improvement contract was executed, the claim was filed, or the hearing was held. See Landsman v. MHIC, 154 Md. App. 241, 255 (2002) (explaining that the right to compensation from the Fund is a "creature of statute," these rights are subject to change at the "whim of the legislature," and "[a]mendments to such rights are not bound by the usual presumption against retrospective application").

and realist transfer and the foliability with the professional field of the first transfer of the first transf

as for the Community of the first of the fir

TARREST WINDOWS CONTRACT BOOK STORM RESTORMENT OF STORMER STOR

Call do include the cale of the

Service of the servic

in any property of the second control of the

RECOMMENDED ORDER

I RECOMMEND that the Maryland Home Improvement Commission:

ORDER that the Maryland Home Improvement Guaranty Fund award the Claimant \$4,000.00; and

ORDER that the Respondent is ineligible for a Maryland Home Improvement

Commission license until the Respondent reimburses the Guaranty Fund for all monies disbursed under this Order, plus annual interest of ten percent (10%) as set by the Maryland Home Improvement Commission;³ and

ORDER that the records and publications of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission reflect this decision.

February 24, 2023
Date Decision Issued

Edward J. Kelley

Administrative Law Judge

Cdward J. Kelley

EJK/ds #202983

³ See Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-410(a)(1)(iii) (2015); COMAR 09.08.01.20.

the property of the same property of the same of the s

manager committee as a manager of the state of the BECIAC

First - Lian Length Sanley (Special strip) in a health of Hoden of Class

terrorists that 1 out the first country of all administration in the goal attribute and non-unusual

A Company of the Comp

Total Commence

The delice constitution A

periodicularity action of the area are an area

PROPOSED ORDER

WHEREFORE, this 24th day of April, 2023, Panel B of the Maryland

Home Improvement Commission approves the Recommended Order of the

Administrative Law Judge and unless any parties files with the Commission

within twenty (20) days of this date written exceptions and/or a request to present

arguments, then this Proposed Order will become final at the end of the twenty

(20) day period. By law the parties then have an additional thirty (30) day period

during which they may file an appeal to Circuit Court.

<u>Robert Altieri</u>

Robert Altieri Panel B MARYLAND HOME IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION

your as the this thou well but my till helping fination to re-

in to price Span be particulated to extend the state of t

The same of the sa