IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM	*	BEFORE JENNIFER L. GRESOCK,
OF TONY MIMS,	*	AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
CLAIMANT	*	OF THE MARYLAND OFFICE
AGAINST THE MARYLAND HOME	*	OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
IMPROVEMENT GUARANTY FUND	*	
FOR THE ALLEGED ACTS OR	*	
OMISSIONS OF JUSTIN ROY,	*	
T/A BLUESTAR HOME	*	OAH No.: LABOR-HIC-02-21-01288
INNOVATION, LLC,	*	MHIC No.: 20 (75) 980
RESPONDENT	*	
		•

PROPOSED DECISION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
ISSUES
SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE
PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT
DISCUSSION
PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
RECOMMENDED ORDER

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On April 17, 2020, Tony Mims (Claimant) filed a claim (Claim) with the Maryland Home Improvement Commission (MHIC) Guaranty Fund (Fund), under the jurisdiction of the Department of Labor (Department), for reimbursement of \$61,667.00 in actual losses allegedly suffered as a result of a home improvement contract with Justin Roy, trading as Bluestar Home Innovation, LLC (Respondent). Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401 through 8-411 (2015). On

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all references hereinafter to the Business Regulation Article are to the 2015 Replacement Volume of the Maryland Annotated Code.

Burgar Starter 는 희귀를 잃었다고 되었는데 그는 보고 보고 있다. 그리 BUT SERVE MULTINESS OF SERVE 지하 시간 하를 감발했습니다. 그 보다. 型,在FTMA、数据存储的支持TECT的1200g DOTA WELLOWS STANLEY HEREAD 产品等 基金基金 (1155)第 - Transfer WAS TO MEETS DANGED BY A SUR 不足嫌的 经经济 医皮肤 医皮肤 Construction of the second of Tation and the content of the first infact by the confident of the part and in the commence of a confi Militaria mandi imana di bili dagi 🗗 di Luca mana di bili dagi bili inggana pili kafa dabi ya a maka j on kin in which are the experiences to be the contraction of the property of the property of the contraction of ACTION OF THE CONTRACTOR OF STATE OF THE STA

> o lamenta fina fila dia Fasi promisi promi Marija. Talian matambah mandia ariba menangan

or a street and the street of the street and the street of grant and reference and

January 13, 2021, the MHIC forwarded the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for a hearing.

I held a hearing on March 11, 2021, via the Google Meet platform. Bus. Reg. §§ 8-407(a), 8-312. Shara Hendler, Assistant Attorney General, Department, represented the Fund. The Claimant represented himself.

After waiting fifteen minutes for the Respondent or the Respondent's representative to appear, I proceeded with the hearing. Applicable law permits me to proceed with a hearing in a party's absence if that party fails to attend after receiving proper notice. Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 28.02.01.23A. On February 19, 2021, the OAH provided a Notice of Hearing (Notice) to the Respondent by United States mail to the Respondent's address on record with the OAH. COMAR 09.08.03.03A(2); COMAR 28.02.01.05C(1). The Notice stated that a hearing was scheduled for March 11, 2021, at 9:30 a.m., via the Webex videoconferencing platform. The Notice further advised the Respondent that failure to attend the hearing might result in "a decision against you."

The Notice was returned to the OAH with the notation "forward time expired." The Respondent did not notify the OAH of any change of mailing address. COMAR 28.02.01.03E. On February 17, 2021, I issued a letter granting Ms. Hendler's request to convert the March 11, 2021 hearing, which had been scheduled as an in-person hearing, to a remote hearing. This letter was emailed to the parties, including Mr. Roy, at the email address on record. The email did not result in a notice of electronic delivery failure. The Respondent made no request for postponement prior to the date of the hearing. COMAR 28.02.01.16. I determined that the

² My letter stated that the March 11, 2021 hearing would be held via Google Meet. The OAH Clerk's Office then erroneously issued the notice scheduling the hearing via Webex. Because of this error, I established a Google Meet meeting room and sent an invitation to the Respondent fifteen minutes prior to the scheduled hearing time. I then kept the Webex room open for him to join while I simultaneously monitored the Google Meet meeting room. The Respondent did not join either remote proceeding. At 9:45 a.m., I ended the Webex meeting.

รอง วามสักษาสังการเกาะข้องโทยอย่าง โดย เรื่องเกาะหลาย ในเลยอาการ ให้เกาะสัก เลยได้ และ เก็บ (การ การเลย refish a crej specif

> and the participation of the first terms of the second of Control of the Control of the Control ารี้จากการสำหรับสามารถสำหรับ เส้

on with the early of a father of the back and the first of the control of the con ante carles de l'Arm Samerant Samerine de groterières en l'en region de l'époche de l'arment de la la la la la The distriction of the first fing on the events of the entry of the other water and the contract of Date College of the C frances of the sign of the beautiful and the second section of the second section of the second sections of the An or cross of lates. The lates to be all the lates to be a late of the lates of th กับกระบบระทัก การเปลี่ยวสมรัตที่ผู้เกิดสมรัตม เมื่อได้ มาแก้ที่ไม่ได้ เกมสมรัตก เพิ่มไทยครอบ gay กฎกระบท , production of the company of the c i day iyo da babu bilin bilin bilin bilin

atera i cilitarii ata atalia cen terregarii periorganii per et ilitarii iliaa eficialisee ee ee eli . P. J. L. H. **Chall Street** and E. D. Larges and L. John Stein (L. Lindstein) and . and the same of th and figures to make navigations because a rate to be so and also beliable general to 2 Property of the exposurate characters of the company of the property of the contract of the company of the comp and the state of the first control of the specific of the state of the specific of the specifi and the feedbackers, but if the entitle and behind the court on which in his case of the

engline di a militari di di didikini di militari di dikena tenga. Kan ilangan kan ilang pikan dalah pangan di Bera, nambi nyahiti di di dan salah kan kan di nyah dalah kan pangan di di di dikenak mengentekan di dan di ka Birangan di di dan di di dan di di di di dan kan pangan di di di di di di di di di dan dan di dan di dan di da Birangan dan di dan di di dan di di di di di di dan di dan di di di di dan di dan dan di dan di dan di dan di d

The few in the Leading Control of the Mark to the control of the first Mark to the control of the first Mark to

TOWER A Property and the desire of the section of t

with a motivate during the part of the part (see and

N. H. Tarrest House Red Live of Albert House o a katolika a kaju di markiti. Pa**utikin**uka a markitida alikina, ati kan

Respondent received proper notice, and I proceeded to hear the captioned matter. COMAR 28.02.01.05A, C.

The contested case provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Department's hearing regulations, and the Rules of Procedure of the OAH govern procedure in this case. Md. Code Ann., State Gov't §§ 10-201 through 10-226 (2014 & Supp. 2020); COMAR 09.01.03; and COMAR 28.02.01.

<u>ISSUES</u>

- 1. Did the Claimant sustain an actual loss compensable by the Fund as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions?
 - 2. If so, what is the amount of the compensable loss?

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

Exhibits

I admitted the following exhibits on the Claimant's behalf:

- Clmt. Ex. A Complaint Form, dated February 15, 2020
- Clmt. Ex. B Contract, date illegible
- Clmt. Ex. C Funds Transfer Request Authorization for \$21,667.00, dated December 23, 2019
- Clmt. Ex. D Letter from Joseph G. Mayer to the Respondent, dated December 23, 2019, with attached check from the Respondent to Mr. Mayer (\$500.00), dated January 18, 2020
- Clmt. Ex. E Photographs of the work site, dated February 12, 2020
- Clmt. Ex. F Text messages between the Claimant and the Respondent, December 2019/January 2020
- Clmt. Ex. G Text messages between the Claimant and Michael Coleman (employed by the Respondent), dated December 5, 2019 through February 4, 2020
- Clmt. Ex. H Text messages between the Claimant and Alexandra Alker (employed by the Respondent), some dated December 2019, others undated

					.
			नार्वे गत् अवृत्यसम्बद्धाः सार्वे गत् अवृत्यसम्बद्धाः	History turby past	•
		• .			
	ļ ·				•
in er opginer i produktivate serjihler i kalenti opkat					
o militar guardin productiva i sala Tambétar guardin productiva i salah	Affilia (17 sp. 24 dise	lib da Proces			
			ere egy variet variet ga	e <mark>ktopa</mark> erko i gyut i. Sest	:
					·
			grad Lakryed Holas Hodek	New Johnson September 2017 September 2017	
				ing the state of t	
fig. cathy 600 y			•	•	
i Miral Humitova fili Miral Home Alaasti in Mitteld	efolgus fri kongestf Takura i kija politici in Takura i kija	adjalos velik ngaratari	o fili tika provinsta natoja je op ro 1900. H		
				nast – Monther († 1866) 1	
	ng a réh na bang ka	e inggregija ergi ten T	r galergade a. Malikka nebi		
a de la proposició de la companya d La companya de la companya de			gas ling a rev Tokki ferila en	हमार्थित स्थापना स्थलित । इसम्बद्ध	
i um u kagpa kui. Pa		amieroponski s Historiani	in exploses plans and	471 - 21.221.1 (1.1.) 484	

- Clmt. Ex. I Text messages between the Claimant and Joseph Mayer, dated December 21, 2019 through January 31, 2020, some undated
- Clmt. Ex. J Emails between Debra Mims and the Respondent, dated January 29, 2020 through February 12, 2020

I admitted the following exhibits on the Fund's behalf:

- Fund Ex. 1 Hearing Order, dated January 7, 2021
- Fund Ex. 2 Notice of Remote Hearing, dated February 19, 2021
- Fund Ex. 3 Home Improvement Claim Form, dated April 17, 2020
- Fund Ex. 4 Licensing information for the Respondent, printed February 26, 2021

The Respondent was not present to offer any exhibits for admission into evidence.

Testimony

The Claimant testified and presented the testimony of Joseph Mayer and the Claimant's wife, Debra Mims.

The Fund did not present any testimony, and the Respondent was not present to do so.

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT

I find the following facts by a preponderance of the evidence:

- 1. At all times relevant to the subject of this hearing, the Respondent was a MHIC licensed home improvement contractor.
- 2. On December 21, 2019, the Claimant and the Respondent entered into a contract to build a 40 foot by 60 foot garage (Contract). At that time, the Claimant was not provided with a copy of the Contract that included the Respondent's signature.
 - 3. The original agreed-upon Contract price was \$65,000.00.
- 4. The Contract included blueprints that were to be provided by Joseph Mayer of J. Mayer Architects, LLC. The fee for the blueprints, as stated in the Contract, was \$4,000.00.

					S
					.
	i die Lighter in Deski groups die een di Die	t minteres (fl. 4) bes Herringer (fl. 6) 18 a			•
		graf (A.C.) in de de de	The supplied School Co.	Jand Grey	
		igindana. As	a sziy addig i i jita		
				Ala Bigavilli	
		ridge (fr. 22.005) Abrill	copression and per	s in the second	
	To Double Control of Control of the	and subjects and indica-	opid iganiait.	A seem A.	
- -	. (nadaro) titol di talinila atti dil	ring of a Min 😘 Com.	ा अंध शबस्त्र के कि एवं क्यों है		
·				en de la companya de La companya de la companya de	•
			estimate in 1927		
				ar indication	
	The mark that was a substitution of the second of the seco				
			,		
			to a thigher to be a light		
	्रे के कार में के कि कि कि किस <mark>में किस की किस के किस के किस</mark> कर है। 	·			
		·	o de l'istanti de la constanti		•
	To the participant form of participants of the form				
	n provenim na majaten da ala karieni dan dada Tanggaran	**	,		
			For service		
		entigran i più ruga	· ·		
	a to supplied by the light and the control of the c	,			
		นาที่ เหตุ จับกระบบ ค.ศ. (การ เกตุ		16 july 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
				·	
					•

- 5. On December 23, 2019, the Claimant paid the Respondent a deposit of \$21,667.00.
- 6. On January 3, 2020, after inquiries from the Claimant, the Respondent told the Claimant that the garage size would need to be reduced based on the opinion of Mr. Mayer. However, the Respondent had not consulted with Mr. Mayer, and Mr. Mayer had provided no such opinion.
- 7. The Claimant and the Respondent exchanged messages in early January 2020, with the Respondent representing that the blueprints were finished. However, no blueprints had been completed or even requested from Mr. Mayer at that time.
 - 8. On January 22, 2020, the Respondent paid Mr. Mayer \$500.00 for the blueprints.
 - 9. By the end of January 2020, the Respondent had not started work on the garage.
- 10. On January 29, 2020, the Claimant contacted the Respondent and asked that the Contract be cancelled and the deposit be returned.
- 11. Over the next two weeks, the Claimant and his wife exchanged messages with the Respondent in an effort to cancel the Contract and have the money they had paid refunded. At times the Respondent stated that he wished to perform part of the Contract; at other times he agreed to refund some or all of the funds.
 - 12. At some point, the Respondent stopped responding to the Claimant and his wife.
- 13. At no time did the Respondent perform any work on the Contract or purchase any materials, other than the \$500.00 paid to Mr. Mayer.
 - 14. At no time did the Respondent refund any funds to the Claimant.
- 15. Mr. Mayer completed the blueprints, for which the Claimant paid him in full. The additional cost of the blueprints, after subtraction of the \$500.00 the Respondent had paid Mr. Mayer, was \$2,730.00.

i je i komencija pominacija, koji positika je naseli 1995. godina	ing di syati, sa sy t) aya a fa a sa
		No. A. Y. A.
to the first assessment of the strain of the	•	
an gwa stê g k a milibiya ayir en may isan yê, ban yê, a garasa n		
and the remarks and a significant provide the state of		
		់
	To tage these talk is	41 X
validately seem to carrier all the legistra praegations and the co	e gipi te kaliye ti	विक्रिकारी समाजीहरू
And Andrew Control of the Control of		•
The state of the expert of the field are seen and the sequence of the sequence		
្រាស់ ខ្លាំង ស្នាក់ ស្រុក		
रण्या सम्बद्धिक स्थाप है। यह अस्तर स्थापक है। कर्म र रिष्ट पहिल्लाक र से स्ट्रांट स्थाप	1	E. Company
	-	
्रक्तः स्थितः राज्युत्तकात्तवस्य देशानुबन्तस्य स्थलकः अस्तिकार्यः इतिस्तराः ताम्यः सेन्द्रः ते असेन्यः हत्। 		
tA) is not the control of the figure of the control	Market State	ing the state of t
The trumph of the standard figure (figure) for a second figure (figure).	o an Mary & Co. Host	् सम्बद्धाः स्टब्स्टिस्ट क्रिक्ट
्रेडेक क्रम असन् वसायामी हे,क्रीव के श्रम केलाम हो कामा १ गर्म अन्तर, स्कूतन	្រែក នេះ ខ្ញុំក្រៅវិទិញ ក្រកនេះ -	
on for the constraint of the state of the field and the first of the state of the first state of the constraint		
	•	i Plagado plakerna
a de programa de la composição de la com		
orași de plantă leg partită î (Drivin) let în de deserti.		•
i eli Garteri, edamique froi etrifo l'estriculation est est		

16. The Claimant hired another contractor, who built the 40 foot by 60 foot garage at a cost of \$105,000.00.

DISCUSSION

In this case, the Claimant has the burden of proving the validity of the Claim by a preponderance of the evidence. Bus. Reg. § 8-407(e)(1); Md. Code Ann., State Gov't § 10-217 (2014); COMAR 09.08.03.03A(3). To prove a claim by a preponderance of the evidence means to show that it is "more likely so than not so" when all the evidence is considered. Coleman v. Anne Arundel Cty. Police Dep't, 369 Md. 108, 125 n.16 (2002).

An owner may recover compensation from the Fund "for an actual loss that results from an act or omission by a licensed contractor..." Bus. Reg. § 8-405(a); see also COMAR 09.08.03.03B(2) ("The Fund may only compensate claimants for actual losses... incurred as a result of misconduct by a licensed contractor."). "[A]ctual loss' means the costs of restoration, repair, replacement, or completion that arise from an unworkmanlike, inadequate, or incomplete home improvement." Bus. Reg. § 8-401. For the following reasons, I find that the Claimant has proven eligibility for compensation.

There is no dispute that the Respondent was a licensed home improvement contractor at the time he entered into the Contract with the Claimant. (Fund Ex. 4.)

The Claimant testified that he first reached out to the Respondent near the end of November 2019, when he sought to have a garage built on his property, shortly after he had a new home constructed. He explained that he met with the Respondent and Michael Coleman, an employee of the Respondent's, to discuss the project in early December 2019. Throughout the month of December, the Claimant exchanged messages with Mr. Coleman and Alex Alker, another employee, regarding the work and the contract. In late December, the Claimant also met with the architect, Mr. Mayer, at the Claimant's home, to discuss the job. The Claimant further

and the second second of the second second second second sections in the second second

The first first section of the first section of the

entre de la come de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell

on the promise of the control of the

and the total effective the preparation of the property of the

one properties on the alternative states of the second of

testified that in early January 2020, the Respondent was sometimes unresponsive to text messages, and that when the Respondent did respond, he falsely told the Claimant the garage would have to be downsized based on feedback from Mr. Mayer.

During this time, the Claimant also sought a copy of the fully executed Contract, as he had only the one he had signed and sent to the Respondent. At one point, Ms. Alker sent it by text message, but the Claimant asked that she resend it via email instead. However, the Claimant was never provided with the fully executed Contract.

The Claimant also stated that by mid-January 2020, he learned by speaking directly with Mr. Mayer that the Respondent had misrepresented that the blueprints were completed, when in fact Mr. Mayer had just received the \$500.00 retainer and was about to begin work. The Claimant continued to exchange text messages with Mr. Coleman about the job, but by late January 2020, he felt that Mr. Coleman and the Respondent were not on the same page and that the Respondent was avoiding performing the job. By the end of January 2020, Mr. Coleman expressed to the Claimant that he did not believe the Respondent would complete the job. The Claimant and his wife then messaged the Respondent in an effort to have their deposit refunded, but the Respondent was erratic in his responses, initially agreeing to do so and then insisting he had a right to keep the deposit. Eventually, the Respondent stopped communicating with the Claimant. The Claimant then worked with Mr. Mayer to obtain completed blueprints and hired another contractor to construct the garage. The Claimant presented the testimony of Mr. Mayer, who corroborated the Claimant's statements regarding the Respondent's inconsistent behavior and false statements, noting in particular that he had never told the Respondent that the garage needed to be downsized.

The Fund did not present evidence but argued the Claimant has established an actual loss due to the Respondent's actions, which constitute either abandonment of the Contract without

A CONTROL OF A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

State of the state

化涂料 化共同共和国基本公司 建油板铸造 di kundan di bater da ke kata

n water of the same

The same of the second control of the same of the second s The state of the second state of the second state of the second s

A to the company of the contract of the contra Table 10 carles and the contribution of the public parties of the contribution of the table of the contribution of the randere elle er randade i fordada ef allo afere entrale esta se elle folloculo ella Contacta de percasa en la m

The property of ार कर राज्यकार क्षान्त्र राज्यक प्रकृति के क्षान्त्र के स्वति के स्वति का स्वति । स्वति स्वति स्वति स्वति स्वत anti i la como la grante de mante militar proprieda de la compansa del compansa de la compansa de la compansa del compansa de la compansa del la compansa de ti in alter istigne parchine estatoli nota il la comparció in especifica de la comparció de la comparció de la per landa lata la per merce el som esta formación de la contraction de la contractio THE STATE OF ASSESSMENT WITH AND THE STATE OF THE WAR TO SEE THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF off refoloring a scheme meter policy or skill as a rule mit monked retire. See page in switching in a first threat is the Worse of the conservable of the proposition of the content or experience in the consequence of the experience of the consequence adi aki za berkura na ku badu gerudu. A tili ser retinga 🖟 tila andigu seri 🛊 mili artikir. र कि कि व कराव अध्याने , भोर्चीक्षण के प्राप्त के कि पाइपार्क को को करावित o strikt i Strikt og stærrige af skalentid gar Holle værik klatif sjegdend. redense de la redinació de l'englise que el la posta que el constante de la colida en la colonia de la present and the second of the contraction of the second of the sec

with a section of the section of the section will be section as a section of the the largest of the Christian specification will be seen to be seen to be a compared to the second of doing any work or incomplete performance, and that the Claimant is therefore entitled to an award from the Fund.

Based on the evidence before me, I am persuaded that the Respondent performed incomplete home improvements. The Claimant's account is credible, uncontradicted, and corroborated by the documents he submitted into evidence. It is clear that the Respondent agreed to construct the garage for the contract price of \$65,000.00, but then did no work other than to pay Mr. Mayer \$500.00 towards the blueprints, which the Contract indicated would cost \$4,000.00 in full. I am further persuaded that at no time did the Claimant reject any good faith efforts by the Respondent to complete the work, as the Respondent never made any attempt to do so.

While the Fund contended that the Respondent's actions could amount to either abandonment of the Contract without doing any work or incomplete work, I conclude that the Respondent's payment of the \$500.00 to Mr. Mayer constitutes some (minimal) performance of the Contract, and that incomplete performance is a more accurate reflection of the facts. This distinction is significant only insofar as the formulas for calculating actual loss are different for abandonment than for incomplete work; however, due to the \$20,000.00 cap on any award from the Fund, the application of either formula results in the same award amount.

Having found that the Claimant is eligible for compensation, I must determine the amount of the Claimant's actual loss and the amount, if any, that the Claimant is entitled to recover.³ The Fund may not compensate a claimant for consequential or punitive damages, personal injury, attorney fees, court costs, or interest. Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(3); COMAR

³ I note that there was no evidence of any statutory bar to an award, as made clear by testimony on cross examination elicited by the Fund that addressed this issue. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-405(f).

with the compatibility and the compatibility of the ของสำคัญ อาลาสารสำคัญของโดยเหลือ เล้ย เมื่อเลยเล้า เมื่อเลย อิธีลูเดอ

in our naver in though a strok in Spinisher in Police of the society by the role, and ាក់ ស្រាស់ ខេត្ត បានក្រុមប្រើអូម៉ែនទៅ ក្រៅពីព្រះមាន**នេះប្រ**ការ ប្រឹក្សា ក្រុមមួយ មិនមួនផ្លែកប្រ**នេះ** មិនប្រការប្រ anti-reported in the discountries in colorate and resident to the property in the Eastern entities and ou koul telus lieus can lii ceu, thou il 1963, la groce cour le cura ultigat coulçigat muit come co recensioner in a circle car and a fact of divine control is a replacement to wight or got over year - 11 III - san en march mendict de diche broken parci bar en graf da eliga broken de dia de de de de de de de no na orio da este no a rem mala com Mattheway Macental per lo grande este del cita del cita del del 1886.

the thing of the common in the control that the compact of the lightest combination to the The transferred phase properties are the distributed to the production of the control of the management De more del completa de como que trajuna espaia del hecie e el filosofice e magno de mismo de est and for graph will be productive and force), and has remain one of the experimental free places of the conand the a black country to the product of a significant of the product of the country of the cou ana Direct - gradus profit Alther 野 coloration of cities | Albaman colliners conscisi undergron light of grows the subjection of a great in 186 Proceeding Regulation Balt (1) as

radio coldinale presenti projectiva projektivali deligio proper il della coldinale di conselle collegia. on a subject in man of the six task and it is at any other and of themself with the strike when a give. lar evices celebral for infragrances of the description is a second parameter a kind of the following TO AND SECTION 特别的基本的人,如此,And Andrew Andrew

i nga karaman nga katalan Kilong Kabupatèn Nobel gi, stalika ji (francija godi

of the contract of the contrac

09.08.03.03B(1). MHIC's regulations provide three formulas to measure a claimant's actual loss, depending on the status of the contract work.

In this case, I have found that the Respondent performed some work under the Contract, and the Claimant then retained another contractor to complete. Accordingly, the following formula appropriately measures the Claimant's actual loss:

If the contractor did work according to the contract and the claimant has solicited or is soliciting another contractor to complete the contract, the claimant's actual loss shall be the amounts the claimant has paid to or on behalf of the contractor under the original contract, added to any reasonable amounts the claimant has paid or will be required to pay another contractor to repair poor work done by the original contractor under the original contract and complete the original contract, less the original contract price. If the Commission determines that the original contract price is too unrealistically low or high to provide a proper basis for measuring actual loss, the Commission may adjust its measurement accordingly.

COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(c).

Here, the Claimant paid the Respondent \$21,667.00, which is then added to the amount the Claimant paid another contractor to complete the work (\$105,000.00), resulting in \$126,667.00. The original contract price of \$65,000.00 is then subtracted from this total, resulting in an actual loss of \$61,667.00.

The Business Regulation Article caps a claimant's recovery at \$20,000.00 for acts or omissions of one contractor and provides that a claimant may not recover more than the amount paid to the contractor against whom the claim is filed. Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(1), (5); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(4), D(2)(a). In this case, the Claimant's actual loss of \$61,667.00 exceeds \$20,000.00. Therefore, the Claimant's recovery is limited to \$20,000.00. Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(1); COMAR 09.08.03.03D(2)(a).⁴

⁴ Had I instead concluded that the Respondent abandoned the Contract, the Claimant's actual loss would have been the amount the Claimant paid to the Respondent, or \$21,667.00. COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(a). The Claimant would still have been subject to the \$20,000.00 cap, resulting in the same award amount as for incomplete performance: \$20,000.00. COMAR 09.08.03.03D(2)(a).

A BOTT TENER OF THE POST OF THE PROPERTY OF THE POST O A CANTON CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF CONTROL O rentalised in the gradual distribution and the light species 10.00毫元的 建二氯化物 (A.1) SSE OBSISTA OF SPACE SPACES CONTRACTOR in the first parales with the first of the second of the s ·阿尔·森克斯·克·克尔克斯·罗克斯 | 安日·西尔克斯特斯· 原列 (4-19) (1) (1) (1) (2) (4-19) equal to white supplies and nter<mark>jacj</mark>nie niet jedo bie bilbinosto siądioj. e est in a file fill the little in the file of the file of the control of the con in de Primer de Minimeron de Primer de Minimeron de Reducer de Maria de de la composition de la composition de 第四周 (1011年) (1212年) 2012年(1011年) (1011年) (1 Programme Service ·艾勒克斯斯克斯·西斯斯特克尔克 机多角形 "你们将**在** अनुस्रोधी के के बहुत्रम् <mark>क्राक्षम् वि</mark>स्तर सहर of the margin extension as the con-

anner de financia e di dipe केरकेर्द्धात प्रत्यकृत क्रात कारण स्थापन केरण वृत्यत पुरस्त कुर्वाकृत है। the the original section of je kolonijanija obserjel Greater prological Lagrange contracting

g verlage abbeeten frel verschildig in fran eine stage einge for. TO THE STATE OF THE SECRET OF THE SECRET SECRET

The control of the section of the section of

the second of the second of the element of the configuration is Particle Grant at in a first, comment which for the particle in the con-ार्ष्ट्रेक मार्ग्यस्थी राष्ट्री राज्यस्थितात् स्वरात राज्यस्य The marking was a confidence and the confidence of the confidence of a subsequent 我們在我們看看在那里多一一一個一個人的意思的意思。 中国的人的意思的主义的

a fireficial control to the fireficial and the fire

ereta en de plotogogo e sergio (historio que en

PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I conclude that the Claimant has sustained an actual and compensable loss of \$61,667.00 as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401, 8-405 (2015); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(c). I further conclude that the Claimant is entitled to recover \$20,000.00 from the Fund. Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(1); COMAR 09.08.03.03D(2)(a).

RECOMMENDED ORDER

I RECOMMEND that the Maryland Home Improvement Commission:

ORDER that the Maryland Home Improvement Guaranty Fund award the Claimant \$20,000.00; and

ORDER that the Respondent is ineligible for a Maryland Home Improvement

Commission license until the Respondent reimburses the Guaranty Fund for all monies disbursed under this Order, plus annual interest of ten percent (10%) as set by the Maryland Home

Improvement Commission;⁵ and

ORDER that the records and publications of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission reflect this decision.

May 26, 2021

Date Decision Issued

Jennifer L. Gresock

Administrative Law Judge

Jennifer L. Gresock

JLG/dlm #191923

⁵ See Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-410(a)(1)(iii) (2015); COMAR 09.08.01.20.

in compaind the company of the entries of the company of the compa

t escribero (n. 1900), municipal de la telebración de la telebración de la completa del completa de la completa de la completa del completa de la completa del la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa

PROPOSED ORDER

WHEREFORE, this 9th day of July, 2021, Panel B of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission approves the Recommended Order of the Administrative Law Judge and unless any parties files with the Commission within twenty (20) days of this date written exceptions and/or a request to present arguments, then this Proposed Order will become final at the end of the twenty (20) day period. By law the parties then have an additional thirty (30) day period during which they may file an appeal to Circuit Court.

<u>Joseph Tunney</u>

Joseph Tunney
Chairman
Panel B
MARYLAND HOME IMPROVEMENT
COMMISSION

