

Governor

KALMAN R. HETTLEMAN

Secretary

CLAIMANT: Rita B. Silwick

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201

> 383 - 5032 -- DECISION --

BOARD OF APPEALS
THOMAS W. KEECH
Chairman

HAZEL A. WARNICK MAURICE E. DILL Associate Members

SEVERN E LANIER Appeals Counsel

DECISION NO.:

127-BR-83

DATE:

January 24, 1983

APPEAL NO.:

09326

S. S. NO .:

EMPLOYER: Baltimore County Schools

L. O NO.:

40

APPELLANT:

CLAIMANT

ISSUE

Whether the Claimant is eligible for benefits within the meaning of §4(f)4 of the Law.

NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT

YOU MAY FILE AN APPEAL FROM THIS DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF MARYLAND. THE APPEAL MAY BE TAKEN IN PERSON OR THROUGH AN ATTORNEY IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY, OR THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY IN MARYLAND IN WHICH YOU RESIDE.

THE PERIOD FOR FILING AN APPEAL EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT

February 23, 1983

- APPEARANCES -

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

REVIEW ON THE RECORD

The record shows that the Claimant was notified by the local office of the agency that it was not necessary to file an appeal of the Appeals Referee's decision because the agency would handle it for her. Under these unusual circumstances, the Claimant's dissatisfaction with the decision, expressed verbally to the local office, will be accepted by the Board as a timely appeal of the Appeals Referee's decision.

Concerning the merits of the case, the Board reverses the decision of the Appeals Referee. As the Board has made clear in the past, a disqualification under §4(f)4 of the Law is a disqualification only from benefits which are <u>based</u> on the <u>educational earnings</u>. Benefits based on other earnings may still <u>be payable</u>. See, the Board's decision in <u>Howard County Board</u> of <u>Education</u>, 1-EA-82, and <u>Saxon v. Frederick County Board</u> of <u>Education</u>. 655-BR-82.

DECISION

The Claimant is not disqualified, under §4(f)4 of the Law from the receipt of benefits based on service other than service for an educational institution. The local office is instructed to calculate any benefits the Claimant may have been eligible for based on such non-educational service.

K:D dp

COPIES MAILED TO:

CLAIMAINT

EMPLOYER

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE – EASTPOINT



KALMAN R. HETTLEMAN

Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY ADMINISTRATION 1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201 383 - 5040

- DECISION -

BOARD OF APPEALS

THOMAS W. KEACH Chairman

HAZEL A WARNICK MAURICE E. DILL Associate Members

SEVERN E. LANIER Appeals Counsel

MARK R. WOLF .dministrative Hearings Examiner

DATE:

APPEAL NO.:

S. S. NO.:

Baltimore County Schools

Rita B. Silwick

L. O. NO.:

40

APPELLANT:

Claimant

8/19/82

09326

ISSUE:

CLAIMANT:

EMPLOYER:

Whether the claimant is eligible for benefits within the meaning of Section 4(f)4 of the Law.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REVIEW

ANY INTERESTED PARTY TO THIS DECISION MAY REQUEST A REVIEW AND SUCH PETITION FOR REVIEW MAY BE FILED IN ANY EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OFFICE, OR WITH THE APPEALS DIVISION, ROOM 515, 1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201, EITHER IN PER-SON OR BY MAIL.

THE PERIOD FOR FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT ON

Sept. 3, 1982

APPEARANCES -

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

Claimant-Present

Francis Reisig, Manager of Payroll and Employee Benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The claimant had been employed by the Baltimore County Public Schools for almost ten years; as a Cafeteria Aide, earning \$3.68 per hour until her last day of work June 17, 1982.

addition to this employment, the claimant had other employment with another employer where the claimant had worked thirteen years on a part-time and occasionally a full-time basis

DHR/ESA 371-B (Revised 3/82)

until this employer closed down on September 17, 1981.

The claimant intends to return to work with the Baltimore County School Systems in the Fall of 1982 and has reasonable assurance of employment.

As of the time of the hearing, the claimant had partial employment.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The claimant contends that since she had other employment that should be entitled to partial Unemployment Benefits based on the other employment which ended September 17, 1981. The Appeals Referee finds that the last employment of claimant established her unemployment status and that is working in an instructional institution, and her unemployment commenced successive academic years and that there is reasonable assurance of the claimant's returning to work during the second school term. Therefore, the determination of the Claims Examiner will be affirmed.

DECISION

The claimant was employed by an instructional institution and that her unemployment commenced between two successive academic years, and that there is reasonable assurance of her returning to the employment, so that the claimant will be disqualified within the meaning of Section 4(f)4 of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law. Benefits are denied from June 20, 1982 until eligibility requirements of the Law are met.

The determination of the Claims Examiner is affirmed.

Date of Hearing: 8/6/82

(4474)-Harrison Copies mailed to:

> Claimant Employer Unemployment Insurance - Eastpoint

Appeals Referee