

- DECISION -

Claimant:	Decision No.:	1876-BR-13
KELVIN M THOMAS	Date:	May 8, 2013
	Appeal No.:	1301130
	S.S. No.:	
Employer:	L.O. No.:	61
TARGET DIV OF DAYTON HUDSON	Appellant:	Claimant

Issue: Whether the claimant was discharged for misconduct or gross misconduct connected with the work within the meaning of Maryland Code, Labor and Employment Article, Title 8, Section 8-1002 or 1003.

- NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT -

You may file an appeal from this decision in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City or one of the Circuit Courts in a county in Maryland. The court rules about how to file the appeal can be found in many public libraries, in the Maryland Rules of Procedure, Title 7, Chapter 200.

The period for filing an appeal expires: June 7, 2013

REVIEW OF THE RECORD

After a review of the record, and after deleting "or about" from the first and third sentences of the first paragraph, and from the first sentence of the second paragraph, the Board adopts the hearing examiner's modified findings of fact but reaches a different conclusion of law. Additionally the Board finds that the claimant was discharged for the single incident of engaging in a brief argument with a co-worker.

The General Assembly declared that, in its considered judgment, the public good and the general welfare of the citizens of the State required the enactment of the Unemployment Insurance Law, under the police powers of the State, for the compulsory setting aside of unemployment reserves to be used for the benefit