		DEPART	MENT OF EMI	PLOYMENT AND	TRAINING	
		BOARD OF APPEALS 1100 NORTH EUTAW STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201			BOARD OF APPEALS THOMAS W. KEECH Chairman	
STATE OF MARYLAND HARRY HUGHES			(301) 383-5032			HAZEL A. WARNICK MAURICE E. DILL Associate Members
Governor		- DECISION -			SEVERN E. LANIER Appeals Counsel	
				Decision No.:	865 -BR-8	MARK R. WOLF Chiel Hearing Examiner
				Date:	October 2	23, 1986
Claimant:	Donna	Silver		Appeal No.:	8604173	
				S. S. No.:		
Employer:	David	& Jonathan,	Inc.	L.O. No.:	45	
				Appellant:	CLAIMANT	

Issue: Whether the claimant is entitled to a greater weekly benefit amount within the meaning of Section 3(b)1 of the law.

- NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT -

YOU MAY FILE AN APPEAL FROM THIS DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF MARYLAND. THE APPEAL MAYBE TAKEN IN PERSON OR THROUGH AN ATTORNEY IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY, OR THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY IN MARYLAND IN WHICH YOU RESIDE.

THE PERIOD FOR FILING AN APPEAL EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT ON

November 22, 1986

- APPEARANCES -

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

REVIEW ON THE RECORD

Upon review of the record in this case, the Board of Appeals adopts the facts and reasoning contained in the decision of the Hearing Examiner. The Board notes that any tips which are reported to the I.R.S. will be considered taxable wage under new legislation (House Bill 318; Chapter 197, Laws of Maryland, 1986). Unfortunately for the claimant, this legislation is not effective¹ until January 1, 1987.

DECISION

The claimant is not entitled to a higher or greater weekly benefit amount within the meaning of Section 3(b)1 of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law.

The decision of the Hearing Examiner is affirmed.

Associate Member

K:W kbm

COPIES MAILED TO:

CLATMANT

EMPLOYER

Hilton H. Silver, Esq.

Ocean Pride Seafood Company

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE - NORTHWEST

¹In the interest of giving complete notice, the Board notes that some courts have held that such changes in the law should be retroactively applied, irrespective of the effective date, to a case appealed before but litigated after the effective date. See, The Good Samaritan Hospital of Baltimore, Inc. v. Board Appeals, et al., Case No. 84107041/L15413 (Circuit Court for Baltimore City, 8/16/84), citing Janda v. General Motors Corporation, 205 A.2d 223 (1964). But see, Baltimore Typographical Union No. 12 v. Hearst Corporation, 228 A.2d 410 (1967).